

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/776,191	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin M. Bernatz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Bernard Codd.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 September 2006

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Double patenting*

**Claims discussed:**

*all*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*N/A*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner indicated that a TD was necessary to bring the case into condition for allowance and that the restricted claims would be recombined since they contained the allowable subject matter*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)